

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/779,754	STAHMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anu Ramana	3732	

**All Participants:**

(1) Suneel Arora.

(2) Anu Ramana.

**Date of Interview:** 30 September 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Non-final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 5:15 pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*69 and 76*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**EDUARDO C. ROBERT**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

---

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' Representative agreed to amending claims 69 and 76 to incorporate subject matter indicated to be allowable in the office action mailed on 5/4/2005, in order to place the instant application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for details.